

To the Public Prosecutor,

I hereby request you to open a criminal investigation with the minimum delay and with the aim of preventing the following set of crimes of an extremely serious nature.

In effect, I accuse:

The Minister of Health and Sport, Madame Roselyne Bachelot-Narquin

The Minister of the Interior, Overseas Territories and Territorial Collectivities, Monsieur Brice Hortefeux

The Minister of Justice, Madama Michele Alliot-Marie

for violating laws against the fundamental interests of the nation (Article 412-1 of the penal code).

for violating laws on a conspiracy against the fundamental interests of the nation (Article 412-2).

for violating laws on medical experiments on people without their consent (Article 223-8).

for violating laws on treason by handing over all or part of the national territory to belligerent powers (Article 411-2).

for violating laws on the crime of preparing to commit mass murder in an association of conspirators (Article 450-1).

To be specific, I accuse the defendants of planning the loss of the sovereignty of France, the suppression of the civic rights of French citizens and the mass murder of the population of France by means of a biological attack to be carried out simultaneously with the seizure of control of France by a transnational organisation, and this under the pretext of a national forced vaccination campaign.

1. Between April 2009 and September 2009 the defendants unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly did conspire and agree together and with each other and with other persons, to commit mass murder of the people of France by planning in covert and then instituting a programme on an unprecedented scale for the vaccination of the „entire population“ with an unproven substance, the H1N1 vaccine. Specifically, they ordered to be established special vaccine centers, instructed also to be „secure“, and expressly instructed not to be in hospitals or GPs practises in each department, in a campaign starting from September 28th and for a period of four months for the financial and political gain

of the World Health Organisation (WHO) and the pharmaceutical companies Baxter, Novartis, Sanofi and GSK.

2. In particular, in August, 2009, the defendants Bachelot-Narquin and Hortefeux, acting in concert with each other and with others, circulated a 19-page document called the "Logistics planning of a vaccination campaign against new virus A(H1N1) Circulaire vaccination 090824 http://www.sante-jeunesse-sports.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/Circulaire_vaccination_090824.pdf (see appendix 1)

" to the prefects of the country's departments, and the military prefects.

They gave systematic and detailed instructions to implement, coordinate and supervise the logistics for a programme of forced mass vaccination of the entire population of France. The "swine flu" vaccine is an untested substance. They circulated this plan in secret after unlawfully imposing military rule following WHO's declaration of a pandemic level 6 emergency without adequate scientific evidence that the "swine flu" fulfils the criteria for a pandemic and after OMS changed the criteria several times to justify a pandemic declaration in June.

Although there is no explicit mention of forced vaccination, the document states that the objective is to prepare the infrastructure to vaccinate the entire population.

The prefects in each department are given minute instructions on how to prepare to carry out the vaccination of the entire population of their department by means of

a. the establishment of special vaccine centers in every department in buildings such as higher education establishments, that is, in inadequate medical facilities. Prefects are told to systematically, and purposefully exclude GPs and hospitals and other existing medical facilities in an explicit instruction.

b. the establishment of "secure" facilities, also with secure "locks" (page 16 of the "Logistics planning of a vaccination campaign against new virus A(H1N1) Circulaire vaccination 090824"), where the defendants calculate the majority of people will be vaccinated and whose apparent purpose is to allow compulsory vaccination to be carried out using physical coercion in facilities which allow people to be restrained by locks and other security devices.

c. the establishment of a basic administrative unit, the pandemic vaccination teams, with a military character and assigned with the the task of vaccinating 360 people in four-hour sessions without any reference to side effects or safety issues.

In the „normal“ facility, four such pandemic vaccination teams are to work in four 4-hour sessions a day to vaccinate 1,400 people a day, or 61,600 people in the course of the vaccine campaign, Bachelot and Hortefeux calculate.

In the „secure“ facilities, 2,100 people are to be vaccinated a day by two teams working three 4-hour sessions.

But how can fewer teams, ie two teams, working less time vaccinate more people, that is 2,100 people, in the secure facilities unless the vaccine agents are using physical coercion?

The secure facilities are calculated in the document to be able to vaccinate 92,400 people (184,800 injections) over the course of the four month vaccination period, that is to say, to vaccinate the most people.

d. the establishment of a surveillance and tracking system to ensure that every person in France is vaccinated

3. The defendants, acting in concert with others unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly were principals in, accessories to, ordered, abetted, gave their consent to and are connected with plans and enterprises of WHO and the vaccine companies that sit as „observers“ on a key vaccine advisory committee of WHO, to declare a pandemic emergency level 6 with adequate scientific evidence after manipulating data to justify a pandemic declaration and mass vaccinations.

By consenting to this spurious pandemic level 6 declaration and activating the corresponding pandemic emergency measures internally in France, the defendants have activated measures in the national pandemic plan -- which incorporates provisions in WHO's International Health Regulations (IHR) 2005 -- that allow WHO to exercise extraordinary power over France's health services, police and military through the creation of a crisis committee of which Bachelot and Hortefeux appear to belong, and which follows the instructions of WHO.

(http://www.pandemie-grippale.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/PLAN_PG_2009.pdf

Page 2. The national plan will continue to evolve...evolution in accordance with the WHO guide, implementation of the new International Health Regulations (IHR), work to be realised under the supervision of the interministerial delegates for the bird flu, publication of a white book on national defence and security).

Forced vaccination, quarantine, restricted travel are just some of the measures allowed under the IHR.

In July, Michele Alliot-Marie transmitted in the greatest of secrecy to the heads of courts a plan to deprive people of their fundamental civic rights under the pretext of the urgency of a pandemic with the aim of obtaining objectives aligned with WHO and IHR.

Children will be able to be put on trial in adult courts. The number of jurors will be reduced. The president of a court can order discussions and judgements to take place behind closed doors. <http://www.lemonde.fr/sujet/5a41/michele-alliot-marie.html>.

By their pandemic declaration without adequate scientific evidence and on a spurious ground, the defendants have, therefore, turned France into a territory under military rule and occupied by a belligerent power, specifically by the WHO and the UN, pursuant to the International Health Regulations 2005 and the French national pandemic plan, so stripping the people of France of their civic rights but also of their sovereignty under the pretext of an emergency and of „organising a continuity of government by the state and of the social and economic lie, as well as maintaining public order and the respect for the law in a more difficult context (page 9 http://www.pandemie-grippale.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/PLAN_PG_2009.pdf) .

4. The defendants acting in concert with others unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly were principals in, accessories to, are connected with plans to ensure huge profits from any mass vaccination to the pharmaceutical companies by ordering 94 million doses of the "swine flu" vaccine from the companies of Baxter, Novartis and GSK and Sanofi following the pandemic level 6 declaration of WHO and a recommendation for mass vaccination issued by WHO in July on the advice of the board on which executives from those same vaccine company, Baxter, Novartis , GSK and Sanofi, sit as observers in a clear conflict of interest.

5. The defendants acting in concert with others unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly were principals in, accessories to, ordered, abetted, and are connected with plans and enterprises to help vaccine companies Baxter, Novartis and GSK to gain access to the French markets for their "swine flu" vaccine by sanctioning, and supporting the bypassing of adequate clinical tests, specifically by subscribing to the „Non-Clinical testing requirements" for pandemic vaccines under the EU EMEA/CHMP/VWP7263499/2006 guidelines where no proof that the vaccines are effective is even required.

„4.2.11 Proof of Concept of Protection

The protective efficacy of the vaccines that are subject of this guideline cannot be established in clinical trials."

That means that the vaccine to be given to the people of France will not be proven to have any protective effect at all - and will

not need to be proven to have any protective effect to be granted a license for the market.

By means of these special guidelines and other ploys, the defendants aimed to circumvent standard safeguards and conceal from the people of France the lethal nature of the „swine flu“ vaccine.

6. The defendants acting in concert with others unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly were principals in a plan to cause unlimited injury to people of France by their forced vaccination programme by introducing no mechanism in their 19-page document to abandon the swine flu vaccination programme in the event of evidence it is causing death and injury even though the European Medical Agency itself says that the safety of the vaccinations needs to be „monitored“ when given on a large-scale to the population expressly because their adequacy will not have been proved in clinical trials.

The defendants, specifically Roselyne Bachelot, a trained pharmacist, should have knowledge of the US swine flu vaccination programme of 1976, which had to be abandoned because the vaccine caused death and injury and resulted in claims of billions being made against pharmaceutical companies.

7. The defendants are unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly are furthering plans by WHO and foreign vaccine companies and their institutional holders to launch a covert and undeclared biological war on the people of France by means of the use of toxic "swine flu" vaccines also, classified as bioweapons by EU and USA regulators, specifically the GSK and Novartis vaccines containing heavy metals, live viruses and the adjuvant squalene. Dr Anders Bruun Laursen of the Centre of Research for Globalisation said that **squalene**, an adjuvant in certain pandemic vaccines, is prohibited for use by the Pentagon following a US federal court ruling in 2004 because of its role in causing the Gulf War syndrome.

What's the Danger of Swine Flu Vaccinations?

by Dr. Anders Bruun Laursen

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=14851>

Squalene in quantities 60,000 higher than caused injury and death in US and UK soldiers is to be given to the civilian population without adequate testing, including to infants as young as, maternity cases and the elderly, even though there is a large body of scientific evidence showing that they will cause injury, death and extreme suffering in an inhuman act.

<http://www.infowars.com/swine-flu-scare-its-all-about-the-adjuvant/>

8. The defendants knowingly and wilfully and unlawfully abused their office by not bringing their plans for forced vaccination to the knowledge of the general public in good time, by not informing the people of France adequately of the risks of such an unprecedented vaccination plan, and by not allowing for consultation and review by properly constituted medical, political, legal and other bodies of their forced mass vaccination plans.

The defendants, in fact, explicitly give instructions to the prefects that the general public should only get to know about the forced vaccination plans at the end of the September, that is the date or after of the commencement of the forced vaccination campaign set for September 28th, that is to say, at a date so late that it precludes any input.

The people of France could, therefore, are therefore facing a trap sprung on them by surprise where they might soon be obliged by their local police to go to these special „secure“ vaccine centers with „locks“ for a „swine flu“ shot only to find themselves restrained and forcibly injected with no right to refuse.

9. From the pattern of activity of the defendants, they appear to be guilty of membership of an international corporate crime syndicate, centered around WHO and the vaccine companies, that has infiltrated its agents into the French government, and into high ranking government positions in the departments (the prefects) and which further the aims of that said criminal gang.

This crime gang includes among other elements the vaccine companies, Baxter, Novartis and their principal institutional shareholders, as well as the principal funders of WHO and the UN, the Rothschild and Rockefeller families, whose corporate media branch is actively concealing from the public these facts.

Summary of claims and evidence

An international corporate crime syndicate that has annexed high office in France, specifically the office of the Health Minister and the Interior Minister, is moving forward in a synchronized and coordinated way to achieve the goal of mass murder of the people of France by employing the calculated use of biological weapons for profit to further their political and economic goals.

Through a forced mass vaccination programme in France, resulting in large scale death and damage, WHO and the institutional investors and bankers who control the pharmaceutical companies, will gain control over the economic and political structures of France.

1. Roselyne Bachelot-Narquin, Minister of Health, and Brice Hortefeux circulated in August an internal document, not publicised, that gives explicit instructions to the prefects of the departments, and the military heads of the country's regional defence zones, to prepare „exceptional“ infrastructure of a „large-scale vaccination campaign“ justified on the basis of a „pandemic“ threat issued by WHO („Suite au lancement de l'alerte par l'Organisation mondiale de sante le 24 avril dernier, page 5/19) and the pandemic level 6 declaration of WHO on 11 June, as a result of which the French government bought 94 million doses of vaccine material.

The content of the document and the secrecy with which it was circulated - it was only made known at the same time as it was leaked to the „alternative media“ - underlines concerns that Bachelot and Hortefeux are agents of an international crime syndicate that is pursuing an agenda in secret hostile to the people of France, their security and sovereignty while promoting the interests of WHO, vaccine companies such as Baxter and their institutional investors.

Because a group few in number has as their target a very large number of people, they recognise their attack can only be successful if they use deceit and surprise - and deceit and surprise are characteristics of this 19-page document outlining an unprecedented vaccine campaign.

This tiny international corporate crime syndicate has identified the vulnerable points of the established French society, the media, and key government officials, and have obtained control of them to carry out their plan with impunity in secrecy. The Rothschild and Rockefeller international criminal syndicate, not only controls the pharma companies such as Baxter through their allied institutional investors and banks and also funds WHO, they also control the media, specifically newspapers such as the Financial Times and La Liberation. They use the media they control to ensure that the vaccines, which are actually classified as bioweapons under US and EU regulations, are seen as desirable.

The media is also used to whip up fear of a virus mutation that scientists, however, have said is unlikely to occur.

The media conceals the scientific and well documented evidence that the swine flu vaccine is full of toxic ingredients, especially squalene, and likely to cause death and injury. The media conceals the dangers and toxicity of a mass vaccination, for which there is documented scientific evidence. A patent deposited in the USA shows that vaccines such as the „swine flu“ vaccine are designed to be produced with the kidneys of diseased green monkeys extracted after they have been killed.

http://www.naturalnews.com/026779_swine_flu_patents_vaccines.html

The Spanish Flu Pandemic of 1918-1919 was believed to have been caused by mass vaccinations, specifically of soldiers. Greece, which had no mass vaccinations, had almost no deaths.

This criminal group has bribed or blackmailed government officials to put in place legislation that allows WHO and the vaccine companies that sit as observers on their board to enforce mass vaccination in all of WHO's 194 member states.

The WHO presents itself as a protector of public health when it is in fact captive to the interests of the pharma companies that sit on key committees.

WHO presents itself as international when it is in fact in the hands of a tiny group of individuals who have controlling shares in those pharma companies.

WHO presents itself as dedicated to fighting diseases when its role in funding and developing diseases is well documented, specifically the bird flu virus that was reconstructed by Jeffrey Taubenberger from the genetic material of a victim of the Spanish Flu.

WHO has admitted releasing pandemic virus into a population via mock up vaccines, an activity which does not fit well with its function of protecting the public health.

http://www.theflucase.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=488%3Awho-admits-to-releasing-pandemic-virus-into-population-via-mock-up-vaccines-&catid=41%3Ahighlighted-news&Itemid=105&lang=en

Two WHO memo dated from 1972 describe how to use vaccines to kill people by suppressing their immune system, loading their body with virus and switching on the immune system to create a cytokine storm (the function of the adjuvant, specifically squalene).

http://www.drcarley.com/pdf/WHO_Memo_1_searchable.pdf

http://www.drcarley.com/pdf/WHO_Memo_2_Searchable.pdf

WHO can, therefore, be regarded a dual purpose private-public biological weapons developer.

The privatised nature of biological warfare today is underlined by Senate Bill 666 steered through the Senate finance committee by Senator Jay Rockefeller which channelled tax money to private pharmaceutical companies such as Baxter and Novartis for the development of bioweapons.

WHO has a responsibility in accordance with international law and its own Constitution to implement policies that promote public health and that are proven to do so by independent bodies.

However, WHO's advisory vaccine committee is composed of dozens of executives from the pharmaceutical industry whose role in the decision-making process is not transparent. WHO has refused to release the Minutes of a key meeting of its vaccine advisory board, SAGE, which recommended mass vaccinations against the „swine flu“ and on which executives from Baxter, Novartis, GSK and Sanofi sat as „observers.“

It is, therefore, not possible to determine the extent to which these executives influenced SAGE to recommend mass vaccinations to WHO.

The WHO Director-General subsequently formally announced a binding recommendation of mass vaccinations on all WHO member states with vaccines that included toxic heavy metals, a live virus and adjuvants as a standard.

Dr Chan and Roselyne Bachelot, as people trained in the medical field, should have knowledge of the many reports and the extensive body of scientific evidence on the damaging impact of such a vaccine, especially, the damage caused by adjuvants which are illegal in the USA and UK.

They should also have knowledge of the evidence that suggests that there is no pandemic and that a pandemic declaration is just a subterfuge to justify the take over of countries by WHO and the UN and also mass forced vaccinations.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zAdbBlRUujo>

Far from protesting the pandemic declaration by WHO on the basis of inadequate evidence, Bachelot and Hortefeux have misused their office to implement a military-style operation in secret to institute mass forced vaccinations from September 28th without giving the general public advance knowledge or allowing for proper consultation with medical bodies.

They have explicitly instructed the prefects to exclude hospitals and GPs, indicating that a concern for a safe vaccination is not their priority. Instead, the emphasis is on „secure“ vaccine centers with locks, on speed and force.

No mention is given in their document dated August 21st of the importance of informed consent and there is no mention either of a mechanism for abandoning the mass vaccination in the event of damage or death, even though the only swine flu mass vaccination programme to have been implemented so far had to be abandoned for that very reason in the USA in 1976. CBS devoted a 60 minute report in 1979 to the disaster: http://www.dailymotion.com/video/x9mh4_les-vaccins-sont-inefficaces-et-dan_webcam

Bachelot and Hortefeux have agreed to a huge experiment on the population of France even though biological experiments, let alone, biological experiments against people's will are forbidden by law.

The European Medicines Agency Q and A themselves state that the safety of the vaccine will not be proven before it is given to the population. The EMEA guidelines for the clinical trials of „pandemic vaccines“ state no proof that the vaccines are effective in protecting against the „swine flu“ are required or indeed possible.

Bachelot and Hortefeux have committed a grave breach of faith by implementing such an unprecedented mass vaccination programme in secrecy and at top speed, knowing of the risks to the public health.

They have also committed a breach of faith in purchasing „swine flu“ vaccine from Baxter, a company that was unable to guarantee the safety of its vaccines to the Czech Republic, without an inquiry.

Baxter is also under investigation by the Austrian police for its role in contaminating 72 kilos of vaccine material with the live bird flu in February of this year, so nearly triggering a global pandemic, according to the Times of India.

Because Baxter is obliged to use biosafety level 3 regulations when handling the live bird flu virus, such a contamination suggests criminal intent.

It is improper of Bachelot and Hortefeux to allow a company that is under investigation for contaminating vaccine material deliberately to be able to give the people of France, possibly under force, a vaccine material without any adequate checks.

It is documented that WHO supplied Baxter with the live bird flu virus. It is improper for Bachelot and Hortefeux to hand over power over France's health and military infrastructure to an international body that was involved in triggering a pandemic deliberately in Austria just a few weeks before the so-called „swine flu“ pandemic, which appeared mysteriously in Mexico City 50 miles from a Baxter facility.

They have acted improperly in allowing a licencing procedure for the vaccine that should indicate safety and efficacy to indicate no such thing before being administered to the people of France. Absolute good faith must be observed as a rule of conduct. Accurate information must be given to the population about the risks and benefits of the vaccine, the track record of the vaccine companies, and the legal framework in which the vaccines are to be administered.

Bachelot and Hortefeux have, however, resorted to measures that systematically conceal and mislead the people of France.

It is an improper practice to send around a document with specific instructions to the prefects of departments and region's military heads to set up the infrastructure for the forced vaccination of the entire population in secrecy.
This act involves a breach of faith.

Bachelot and Hortefeux have a moral and legal obligation to speak the truth.

Such secrecy cannot be justified on any grounds. The people of a France have a right to be informed of such an unprecedented plan in good time: medical experts should be allowed time to give their opinions about the need and usefulness of such a plan - or about the potential damage.

Especially questionable is the instruction by Bachelot and Hortefeux that no medical establishments should be used as vaccine centers and GPs are to play no role in the vaccination programme.

Why are the established medical facilities being excluded from this unprecedented mass vaccination campaign?

The large-scale vaccination facilities planned - Centers of Vaccination of a Large Capacity (Centres de vaccination de grande capacite (CVGC) - with added security (fonctionnement renforce) are calculated to be able to vaccinate 2,100 people each day. Under the false pretext of the need for speed and of scarcity, huge numbers of people are to be vaccinated in inadequate medical facilities by inadequately trained teams - and for no discernible benefit.

Measures such as colloidal silver, vitamin supplements and surgical masks are also adequate for dealing with any pandemic, if there is indeed one.

Homeopathy is one of the alternative treatments to anti virals and proved successful in treating the Spanish Flu Pandemic of 1918:

<http://www.naturalnews.com/026148.html>

Bachelot's and Hortefeux's treacherous conduct in sending out the instructions for such a mass vaccination campaign in secure facilities in secret is, therefore, of a nature that destroys the basis for their continuation in office.

There is, therefore, evidence that the defendants under color of their office actually work to promote the interests of WHO and the pharmaceutical companies, and are elements of an international crime syndicate engaged in criminal activity that is hostile to the security of the State of France by planning directing, supervising and implementing the forced vaccine campaign in secrecy using toxic substances under the pretext of giving a prophylactic measure that will result in the annihilation of the population of France, and need their activities need to be investigated as a matter of extreme urgency by police and law enforcement bodies.

2. The document dated August 21st and signed by the French Health Minister Rosayne Batchelot-Narquin and the French Minister of Interior, Minister of the Interior, Overseas Territories Brice Hortefeux is addressed to the heads of each of France's defense zones. the regional prefects in charge of police and the gendamerie, the prefects of departments and the heads of regional health authorities for hospitalization, is itself evidence that a biological weapons attack is being planned on the population of France in secrecy under the pretext of preparing for a pandemic emergency.

The stated objective of the 19-page document is to give specific instructions on the administrative infrastructure needed for the systematic vaccination of the entire French population in the period October, November, December 2009 and January 2010.

Instructions are given to the prefects to establish secure facilities in every department to serve as "vaccination centers". The „exceptional“ plan of a „large-scale vaccination campaign“ is justified on the basis of a „pandemic“ threat issued by WHO („Suite au lancement de l'alerte par l'Organisation mondiale de sante le 24 avril dernier, page 5/19) and the pandemic level 6 declaration of WHO on 11 June, as a result of which the French government bought 94 million doses of vaccine material.

No explicit mention of forced vaccination is made but the document states that that the objective is to prepare to vaccinate the entire population.

The Prefects of the departments are told on page 2/19 to put in place measures to allow the entire population of a department to be given two doses of vaccine at a minimal interval of 3 weeks over a period of four months.

Prefects are given instructions on how to establish special vaccine centers in their departments, including „secure“ vaccine centers.

But why do the vaccine centers need to be secure? Is it because Bachelot and Hortefeux are planning forced vaccinations?

The plan states that „vaccine teams“ are to be allowed to install „secure locks“ [page 16: „L'equipe de vaccination doit pouvoir disposer..de lieux ou de mobilier d'entreposage du material medical, avec fermeture securisee)

On page 1/19 of the introduction, the plan states as its central objective the need to prepare the infrastructure and logistics to give the vaccine to „the totality of the population“ („a l'ensemble de la population“), adding in parentheses „if it proves to be necessary“ („si cela s'averait necessaire“).

On page 2/19, the plan reaffirms the goal of preparing to vaccinate the entire population („a toute la population“). On the same page, there are instructions to the heads of the departments to prepare to vaccinate all the people living within their department („a l'ensemble de la population du departement“).

The plan involves setting up special vaccination centers („centres de vaccination“), specifically in schools or higher education establishments. An explicit instruction in bold letters is given to exclude medical establishments as candidates for vaccine centers on page 3/19 („Nous vous demandon d'exclure les etablissements de sante du champs des centres de vaccination.“). That although medical establishments, staffed by skilled and experienced health professionals, would seem to be the natural locations to launch any mass vaccination campaign.

Also, GPs are implicitly excluded from the military style organisation for giving vaccinations even though GPs would also seem to be the natural professionals to give a vaccine. No other measures for dealing with any pandemic such as the use of colloidal silver, vitamins or surgical masks are mentioned in the plan. The focus is solely on vaccinations.

On page 7/19 in a chapter entitled „Vaccination, a complex operation that requires anticipation and organisation“, it is maintained that the people of France are threatened by a virulent second wave of the swine flu, an assertion not grounded in scientific fact.

The need to implement a system to track every individual to check whether they have received the vaccine or not is stressed on page 7/19 („l'elaboration d'undispositif de tracabilite individuelle de la vaccination“).

The next chapter called „Organisational constraints of the vaccine campaign“ talks about the „numerous“ and „heavy“ organisational problems to be overcome in launching a mass vaccination campaign. The need to keep track of every single individual to check whether they have received the vaccine is again stressed: individuals are to be tracked using data from the health insurance system, it is stated on page 8/19.

The claim is made on the same page that this tracking of individuals is necessary to check that the people have received two doses from the same producer.

Baxter, Novartis, Sanofi and GSK are to supply the vaccines. Also, it is stated that the vaccines are „new products“ („produits nouveaux“) and that laboratories need to be kept up to date. It is stated that the clinical trials are in progress and the pharmaceutical companies have applied for licences.

That is to say the clinical trials have not yet been completed but the plans for large scale vaccination of the French population are

being put in place in the space of four months starting in a few weeks.

At the bottom of page 8/19, however, it is admitted that the individuals are to be traced to track the rate and number of people who have been vaccinated. A subsection discusses the need for „rigorous logistics“ and includes the need for keeping stockpiles of vaccines at a temperature between 2 and 8 degrees. Instructions are given on how to give the injections on page 9/19 (intra-muscular), including on the length of needle required in relation to the weight of the patient (25 mm for people over 60 kg and 16 mm for people with a lower weight including children).

In discussing the logistics or supply chain to bring the vaccine material to the vaccine centers, emphasis is given to the „security“ on page 10/19 as well as the need to transport and store the vaccine material in refrigerated conditions of between 2 degrees centigrade and 8 degrees.

An „interministerial“ decision is to determine which groups in the population are to receive the vaccine first.

The military-style organisation for the vaccine campaign is justified on the basis of the need for a „collective“ vaccination on page 9/19.

The next chapter deals with the logistics of the vaccination campaign.

Prefects are notified on page 10/19 that they will receive further specific instructions on how to „protect“ the stockpiles of vaccine and how to transport them securely in the coming weeks because of the "importance" of protection for the success of the vaccination campaign („La protection des stocks et transport de vaccins et des materials de vaccination constitue un enjeu important pour la reussite de la campagne de vaccination.)

The subsequent chapter deals with the „Internal functioning of the vaccine center.“

Special vaccination teams are to be established that are allegedly to be placed under the supervision of a doctor or nurse but there is no indication of what that supervision might consist in.

It is calculated that the base unit of a vaccination team with three „vaccine agents“ will be able to give 360 injections in a four-hour session on page 11/19.

The different types of vaccine centers are discussed on page 12/19 with two modes of functioning, normal and secure or strengthened (renforce).

What is the point of establishing secure vaccination facilities unless the plan is to force people to take the vaccination inside these facilities?

The centers are to be open after 6 pm and on Saturdays.

The capacities and attributes of four types of vaccine centers are listed on pages 12 and 13.

The first type of center is called a center of vaccination minimal (CVM) involving one vaccination team injecting 360 people every four hours; such a team is calculated to be able to inject 15,000 people in the course of the vaccination campaign.

The next type is the „standard vaccination center“ (CVS) which is subdivided into two classes, one which is „normal“ (CVSN) and the other which is „secure“ or „strengthened“ (CVSR).

The normal standard vaccination center is calculated to be able to inject 30,800 people in the course of the four month vaccination campaign on page 12 while the secure vaccine facility is expected to inject 44,000 people during the same period if it functions five days a week.

That is to say, the plan foresees more people being vaccinated in the „secure“ facilities than in the „normal“ facilities, apparently preparing for widespread resistance.

On page 13, the centers of vaccination of a large capacity (CVGC) are also subdivided into two classes: normal and secure.

In the „normal“ facility, four vaccination teams are to work in four 4-hour sessions a day to vaccinate 1,400 people a day, or 61,600 people in the course of the vaccine campaign.

In the „secure“ facilities, 2,100 people are to be vaccinated a day by two teams working three 4-hour sessions.

But how can fewer teams, ie two teams, working less time vaccinate more people, that is 2,100 people, in the secure facilities unless the vaccine agents are using physical coercion? The secure facilities are calculated to be able to vaccinate 92,400 people (184,800 injections) over the course of the four month vaccination period, that is to say, to vaccinate the most people.

On page 13, it is stated that two or three teams working simultaneously will require less supervision and „welcoming“ personnel.

The fourth type of vaccine unit is the mobile vaccination team (EMV) which is intended to vaccinate school children and also prisoners.

Such a mobile unit is calculated to be able to give

- 100 injections a day to children aged between 6 months and 3 years in creches
- 250 injections a day to children in Kingergartens and primary schools
- 300 injections a day to children in secondary school

On page 14/19, it states that a doctor will be at hand to evaluate the risks of a vaccination and to deal with „secondary effects“ and anaphylactic shocks.

No mention is made of people being allowed to refuse the vaccine on medical grounds.

A typical „vaccination post“ is described as having „three agents“: an agents in charge of prepearing the vaccine and of

ensuring there are enough needles, a „vaccinator agent“ and an „agent in charge of traceability“.

In the next subsection, the layout of a vaccine post and the takes of the various personnel are laid out in detail.

A table is to be placed close to the „public entrance“ to welcome people.

The exit to the vaccination zone is to be „controlled“ or guarded to ensure people who leave have all been vaccinated, it says on page 15.

Everyone who attends has to fill out a questionnaire and present it to the „post of vaccination“.

The vaccination post is to have

- a person assigned to „welcome“ people who will be expected to wait for about 30 minutes
- a table for the „vaccinator agent“ with the material for the vaccination
- a table situated close to the „vaccination agent“ for the administrative personnel to collect data to ensure the person can be tracked close to the exit
- a table for preparing the injections that is to be situated apart from the main „circuit.“

The medical consultation according to page 16 is available to take charge of „anaphylactic“ shocks and give information about the vaccination.

On page 16 it says that a vaccine team can use a place with a „secure door“.

On page 19/19, it says army medics and medical students can be compelled to serve in vaccine centers on an order of the Prefect or the Prime Minister.

4 (3). Roselyne Batchelot-Narquin and Brice Hortefeux in their capacity as public servants serving respectively as the Health Minister and the Interior Minister of France have a duty as part of their official capacity to act at all times in such a way as to safeguard the health of the French population, specifically to prevent the misuse of a pandemic declaration by government organisations, companies intent on unleashing a pandemic virus and then carrying through a mass vaccination programme with toxic or contaminated material in order to gain political and economic advantages from mass murder.

As a public servant, the Health Minister Bachelot, a trained pharmacist, is obliged to stay informed about current developments, also about the role of Baxter and WHO in the contamination of vaccine material with the live bird flu virus in Austria this February.

Criminal charges have been filed and the Austrian police are investigating this incident which almost triggered a global pandemic in the estimation of experts and the media.

Virus mix-up by lab could have resulted in pandemic (6 Mar 2009)
<http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/4230882.cms>.

As a result of this incident, 36 people had to be treated preventatively for the bird flu in Austria and the Czech Republic where the live bird flu virus was detected.

The WHO reference lab provided Baxter with the particularly lethal wild type bird flu virus that ended up contaminating ordinary human flu material and being distributed to 16 laboratories in Austria, the Czech Republic, Slovenia and Germany under a false label, and so nearly sparking a bird flu pandemic this winter

There is therefore a verifiable and unambiguous link between WHO and Baxter and the attempt to trigger deliberately and systematically a pandemic level 6 emergency this winter, apparently for financial and political gain.

A few weeks after this Baxter contamination was discovered, in the middle of April the „swine flu“ appeared in mysterious circumstances in Mexico City just 50 kilometers from another Baxter facility. The role of Baxter in this emergence of swine flu is all the more troubling because Baxter filed a patent on the swine flu vaccine which was published in March 2009, and so applied for several months earlier, which mentions combinations of multiple antigens for manufacturing a vaccine, Among the viruses named is the H1N1 „swine flu“ virus. How could the company Baxter possibly know several months before the H1N1 virus actually appeared of the existence of this H1N1 virus?

<http://www.theoneclickgroup.co.uk/documents/vaccines/Baxter%20Vaccine%20Patent%20Application.pdf>

On April 24th, WHO declared a pandemic emergency without adequate scientific evidence of any pandemic.

At the time a dozen or so people had been reported to have died in Mexico City.

It soon became apparent that the „swine flu“ was mild, so mild that many patients who allegedly had it required no treatment other than a rest.

In spite of that WHO ordered all WHO officers to man the "Pandemic Control Room" 24/7 for the 1st time in response to the swine flu cases over the weekend 25th/26th, strongly suggesting the notion that WHO was determined to push through a unilateral pandemic level 6 declaration no matter what in order to obtain advantages for itself and for the vaccine companies that sit on its boards.

WHO's motive was not to protect the world against a new virus but to trigger the legal mechanism that would allow WHO to take control of country's health and military infrastructure and institute mass vaccinations.

The WHO "Pandemic Control Room" is designed to map and track the spread of a pandemic virus, and is thus equipped with super-computers tied to all U.N. member government's security forces, underlining the military character of the strategy for handling a „pandemic emergency“.

When a "declaration" of a pandemic level 6 is issued by WHO, the countries that are signatories of WHO's International health Regulations 2005 move to implement provisions which gives WHO extraordinary power over the health and security forces of the France and other countries, including the power to order forced vaccinations and quarantines.

In order to justify declaring this pandemic, WHO altered the criteria for a pandemic several times and then ordered governments to stop collecting key data.

Under the present rules, every year since 1918 would have been Pandemic Level 6 under the WHO's definition. <http://www.infowars.com/scientist-tells-swedish-tv-who-pandemic-criteria-lowered-to-benefit-big-pharma/>

A pandemic emergency declaration is not to be made for the convenience of WHO but on the basis of an objective scientific necessity and if there is real evidence that the public health is at threat.

So far, only about 1000 people have died from the swine flu, a number that is tiny and in no way justifies a pandemic declaration by WHO.

Furthermore, the disease is mild and indistinguishable from the normal seasonal flu. As many as 9 out 10 rapid diagnosis have proved to be wrong according to a government study.

Swine flu centers in England are reported to have overprescribed Tamiflu resulting in serious side effects to people who had no swine flu.

The government of the UK, as the government of France, went along with the swine flu hype and instituted swine flu centers for a predicted 100,000 cases predicted a day in August - cases which never materialised, resulting in the centers having to be closed for lack of use.

Dr Marc Girard accused the WHO of exaggerating the dangers of the pandemic for political profit.

In spite of the evidence that WHO's pandemic declaration was not justified by scientific facts, the Minister of Health Roselyne Batchelot put France on an internal pandemic level just below

martial law and prepared to put the country under martial law by September.

The consequences of such a declaration include the imposition of martial law by WHO on the people of France with measures such as forced quarantine and forced vaccination permissible.

The fundamental liberties of the French will be severely curtailed and power transferred to a few key "government agencies" and also WHO under the country's pandemic plan, updated in February 2009, just in time for the "bird flu" pandemic nearly unleashed by Baxter in February and for the "swine flu" pandemic, which appeared mysteriously a few weeks later.

http://www.pandemie-grippale.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/PLAN_PG_2009.pdf

Instead of questioning or examining the motives of WHO for its pandemic declaration, Roselyne Batchelot and Birce Hortefeux have done everything to allow the WHO to take over key infrastructure in France and issue directives (see document from August 21st) that are hostile to the safety and security of France, and that, if implemented, could lead to the total annihilation of the population.

Dr Marc Girard, called as an expert medical witness in a trial over the deaths of people in France who took a Baxter vaccination against hepatitis B on the recommendation of WHO, has called forced vaccination a serious menace to "liberty."

<http://docs.google.com/qview?a=v&pid=gmail&attid=0.1&thid=1237a6c2b784c110&mt=application%2Fpdf&pli=1>

He has said that WHO is just as much a captive of commercial interests today as it was in the case of hepatitis B when he called for criminal charges to be brought against WHO.

<http://www.rolandsimion.org/spip.php?article35&lang=en>

Discussing the "swine flu outbreak", he reprints his open letter to the WHO's Director General, published in the Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons (2005; 11: 22-3), in which he said there was evidence of "criminal malfeasance" when it came to WHO's activities.

<http://www.rolandsimion.org/IMG/pdf/girard.pdf>.

"While much information concerning World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations on vaccines, particularly against hepatitis B, remains secret, there is sufficient evidence in the open literature to suggest scientific incompetence, misconduct, or even criminal malfeasance.

The benefits are overstated and toxicity greatly understated. Influenza vaccine recommendations falsely imply that the available vaccines could help prevent avian influenza. After the universal campaign of vaccination against hepatitis B was launched in France in September 1994 upon the recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO), a criminal inquiry was opened because of the demand by the relatives of people, some of them children, who had died after being immunized."

Girard also discusses the "art" of conjuring up a public health menace by using "urgency as a pretext", "dramatising events," "rhetorical stereotypes" in an article published on May 24th 2009 on his website.

He looks at how the media, experts, government bodies and WHO will control the flow of information to the public to suggest a gigantic threat that requires immediate vaccination.

<http://www.rolandsimion.org/spip.php?article33>

In addition, French Professor Marc Gentilini, an expert in infectious diseases and a member of the French National Academy of Medicine, said in an article published on the website of "Le Monde" that the attention paid to the "swine flu" pandemic is "indecent" seen within the context of the world's health problems.

He notes that 200,000 children die every week from sicknesses, and many of them could have been saved by the right medicines or by simple preventative measures. There has been only one victim of the "swine flu" in France so far and that this person may have died of other causes.

He argues the "principle of precaution" should be applied and that there are simpler and cheaper solutions to any pandemic such as washing your hands and wearing a mask.

In addition, he says the decision of the French government to spend about € 1 billion euros on buying "swine" flu vaccine does not appear reasonable or justified, noting this is three times the amount France gives in aid to developing countries.

Furthermore, Gentilini says nothing is known about the effectiveness of the "swine flu" vaccine or about its future possible undesirable side-effects. The "swine flu" virus, however, is harmless, and even if it does mutate and become harmful, he notes the vaccine will become ineffective. A mutation has already been detected in Japan:

<http://crofsblogs.typepad.com/h5n1/2009/07/osaka-fails-to-report-swine-flu-mutation.html>

He refuses to speculate on whether pressure has been put on governments to lavish money on vaccines, but states that the mass vaccination programme is very profitable to the manufacturers of these vaccines.

He argues that the vaccines are too expensive and the contracts should be renegotiated.

Professor Gentilini also talks about WHO and their failure to tackle AIDS because of an "underestimation" of the seriousness of this disease. He notes that a million people die from malaria each year and little is done to help these people.

Also, he mentions that little attention is being paid to protecting the developing countries from the "swine flu". The article can be found here. Many thanks to Axel for sending it in.

http://www.lemonde.fr/planete/article/2009/08/06/pour-marc-gentilini-on-assiste-a-une-pandemie-de-l-indecence_1226376_3244.html

Pour Marc Gentilini, on assiste à une "pandémie de l'indécence"

Also, French medical Professor and Member of Parliament Bernard Debre has criticised WHO for exaggerating fears about the "swine flu", saying the "swine flu" is a harmless ordinary flu, and much less dangerous even than the typical seasonal flu, in the Saturday edition of the French newspaper «Journal du Dimanche».

http://www.lejdd.fr/cmc/societe/200930/debre-cette-grippe-n-est-pas-dangereuse_233431.html

Debre said that the "swine flu" would stay a harmless flu and hospitals had started treating it with simple pain killers. To call the 800 cases reported so far in France a threat to anyone was a "joke", he said.

Debre accused WHO of issuing press releases that ignited fear and panic, and of putting pressure on national governments to exaggerate the danger of the H1N1 flu and so react with draconian measures.

"From the moment, WHO, in a way that was a little too quick, began to gesticulate with daily communiques and press conferences which were constantly repeated, the governments didn't have any other choice in reality but to follow [WHO's commands]. I criticise [the national governments] in as far as they succumbed to a "political hyper-medialisation" of this event, " he said.

These are just some of the French medical experts who have criticised in public the decision by WHO to declare a pandemic level 6.

An online study published in the UK medical journal, The Lancet, in 2007 came to the conclusion that the WHO's guidelines and recommendations are not based on scientific evidence and that the decision-making process is "distinctly non-transparent", adding weight to those critics who say, like Dr Marc Girard, that WHO is under the control of the pharmaceutical industries and not making key decisions based on an independent evaluation of data as it is obliged to do.

John Virapen, a former manager of Eli Lilly in Sweden has said how he bribed Swedish medical professors and officials to get drugs with serious side effects a licence.

5. (4). Roselyne Batchelot, the Minister of Health, has ordered 94 million doses of the "swine flu" vaccine from Baxter, Novartis,

Sanofi and GSK following WHO's pandemic declaration of a pandemic level 6.

WHO formally issued its "recommendation" to mass vaccinate the population at a meeting in Geneva on July 14th.

Executives from Baxter, Novartis, GSK and Sanofi Pasteur had seats at a key WHO vaccine advisory group that recommended mandatory H1N1 jabs to WHO, according to a WHO document.

http://www.who.int/immunization/sage/LOP_SAGE_7_JULY_08_07_09.pdf

Executives such as Dr Cornelia Kutzer, Senior Marketing Manager, Global BioSecurity, Baxter BioScience in Orth/Donau in Austria - the very high biosecurity level facility where the 72 kilos of vaccine material was contaminated and sent to 16 laboratories from - attended the meeting on July 7th.

The Strategic Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) on Immunization, established by the Director-General of the World Health Organization in 1999 to provide guidance on the work of the WHO Immunization Department, and pharmaceutical executives, who have the status of "observers", recommended to WHO mass vaccination with their own vaccines.

"All countries should immunize their health-care workers as a first priority to protect the essential health infrastructure. As vaccines available initially will not be sufficient, a step-wise approach to vaccinate particular groups may be considered.

SAGE suggested the following groups for consideration, noting that countries need to determine their order of priority based on country-specific conditions: pregnant women; those aged above 6 months with one of several chronic medical conditions; healthy young adults of 15 to 49 years of age; healthy children; healthy adults of 50 to 64 years of age; and healthy adults of 65 years of age and above.

In view of the anticipated limited vaccine availability at global level and the potential need to protect against "drifted" strains of virus, SAGE recommended that promoting production and use of vaccines such as those that are formulated with oil-in-water adjuvants and live attenuated influenza vaccines was important."

As a result of this "recommendation," from WHO Minister of Health Roselyne Bachelot ordered 94 million doses of vaccine, also from Baxter, the company that contaminated 72 kilos of vaccine material in Austria with live bird flu virus.

That order assuring huge profits to the vaccine companies was placed even though there were only 400 cases of "swine flu" in France at the time compared to more than 8000 in the UK, though it is not clear how those numbers have been arrived at since there is little laboratory verification.

France is to spend at least 700 million euros on the "vaccines" even though there have been only about 500 deaths from the "swine flu", that is one in every 100 000 cases.

Roselyne Bachelot has so flagrantly disregarded the views of independent medical experts in France and other countries and aligned herself so clearly with the interests of WHO and pharma companies that pushed through a pandemic level 6 declaration in spite of the evidence that there was no need and also pushed through mass vaccinations that it is legitimate to ask for a motive and even the question: is Bachelot receiving or has she received favours from the pharma companies or their associates in the form of kickbacks, offshore banking accounts or in other forms.

Is she exercising the kind of independent, neutral judgement when it comes to choosing policies that protect the public health as her office requires her to do? Or is she abusing her position to push through the agenda of the pharma companies and WHO at the expense of the French public, specifically a pandemic level 6 declaration and forced mass vaccination with a toxic substance?

5. Bachelot and Hortefeux are planning to implement a forced vaccination campaign in secret with a substance -- the pandemic „swine flu vaccine -- that they know, or have the duty of knowing, has not been adequately tested for safety so risking serious injuring and death to hundreds of thousands if not millions of people in France and in a way that will be especially painful, inhuman and cruel.

Medical experts like Dr Rebecca Carley have described in detail the kind of death due to a cytokine storm that this vaccine with adjuvants is expected to trigger in people as the bodies own immune system turns against it to fight the bacteria and viruses injected as part of the same „swine flu“ vaccine treatment.

The European Medicine Agency responsible for licencing the „swine vaccine“ has stated in its own Q and A press material that the „safety“ and „efficacy“ of the „swine flu“ jab will NOT be adequately tested before it is administered to populations on a large scale, and specifically asked governments to monitor „safety“ during the course of a mass vaccination campaign without giving any instructions or criteria to stop or break off such a campaign if evidence of a lack of „safety“ emerges.

http://www.theflucase.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=573%3Aeuropean-medicines-agency-admits-safety-of-qswine-flu-jab-to-be-tested-after-being-used-in-the-european-population&catid=41%3Ahighlighted-news&Itemid=105&lang=fr

Why does Bachelot not demand that the safety of the vaccine be tested adequately before issuing instructions for the establishment of a huge infrastructure for mass forced vaccination of the population in secret starting from September 28th, and due

to last a period of four months? There is no virulent „swine flu“ virus, and none likely to appear by the assessment of scientists such as Jeffrey Taubenberger.

Or is the „swine flu“ hysteria only an excuse to circumvent the remaining medical safeguards in place to prevent a dangerous vaccine being given to most people?

Indeed, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) has drawn up special guidelines for pandemic vaccines that dispense with any requirement for the manufacturers to prove the efficacy and safety of their „swine flu“ pandemic vaccine.

The guidelines EMA/CHMP/VWP7263499/2006 state under subsection 4.2.11 that the „protective efficacy of the vaccines that are the subject of this guideline cannot be established in clinical trials. It is clear that Bachelot is prohibited from taking any measure of such a character as to cause the physical suffering and extermination of large numbers of people in France by failing to ensure that adequate licencing procedures are in place.

It is prohibited by international and domestic law to conduct a scientific or biological experiment on large numbers of people. And yet what is the administration of the „swine flu“ vaccine except a huge biological experiment? How can it be other than an experiment if the EMA's guidelines, with which Bachelot has a duty as part of her office as Health Minister to be familiar with, themselves state that the protective efficacy of the swine flu vaccines cannot be established in clinical trials. If the „protective efficacy“ cannot be established, what is the point of giving these swine flu vaccines in the first place? Why are trials not so designed as to establish the protective efficacy of the swine flu vaccines?

The importance of ensuring proper clinical trials and licencing procedures are in place was underlined by the incident in Poland in 2008 when Novartis apparently killed at least 21 people and injured many hundreds during clinical trials on a „bird flu“ vaccine. As a result, Polish doctors and nurses are on trial for manslaughter. <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/poland/2235676/Homeless-people-die-after-bird-flu-vaccine-trial-in-Poland.html>

Interestingly, the Novartis bird flu drug has adverse events reactions listed as the primary outcome measure, that is to say, it is licenced to cause "adverse events" or damage.

How many millions, if not tens of millions of French people, risk injury and death by being given this „swine flu“ vaccine whose „protective efficacy“ cannot be proven by Bachelot for the profit of the pharmaceutical companies and the bankers, who own them?

In Nigeria, it was recently reported that a polio vaccine given to people on the orders of WHO actually spread the polio virus. How is this possible? It was possible because there were live whole polio viruses in the vaccine - just as there will be live whole viruses in the „swine flu“ vaccine on the orders of WHO and Bachelot.

Bachelot and Hortefeux risk triggering a devastating pandemic by the very act of vaccinating people with such vaccines as there is evidence that the Spanish Flu Pandemic was started by a mass vaccination campaign, evidence Bachelot and Hortefeux are obliged as part of their office to know about before ordering preparations for such a massive campaign of vaccination in secret for the entire French population.

The fact is that there has been no scientific evidence to prove the efficacy even of the flu shot let alone the swine flu shot, and the marketing pitches of pharmaceutical companies cannot hide this basic fact. Polls of health professionals around the world, including the UK and Hong Kong, show that they are refusing to take the „swine flu“ vaccines over concerns about its safety.

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-1207270/A-nurses-refuse-swine-flu-jab.html>

<http://info.sfr.fr/france/Grippe-A-des-blouses-blanches-anti-vaccin,115335/>

If doctors and nurses are refusing to take the shot over concerns about its safety, why is Bachelot not concerned about the safety of that shot?

There is evidence that Bachelot understands full well the dangers of this shot, and has wilfully and deliberately, helped so design the licencing procedure to facilitate the administration of a deadly shot to the people of France by allowing, among other measures, guidelines such as EMEA/CHMP/VWP7263499/2006 to be used to circumvent safeguards and so conceal from the people of France, the truly damaging nature of this pandemic „swine flu“ vaccine.

Furthermore, she has knowingly and wilfully consented to allowing companies such as Sanofi to conduct clinical trials on a study group that excludes pregnant women among others, even though Bachelot has herself said pregnant women are a priority group to receive the „swine flu“ vaccine.

Concerning the Sanofi group, it is important to remember the inauguration of their new factory in Mexico in March 2009. President Sarkozy and the Mexican President Calderon were present at the inauguration. Chris Viehbacher, the director of Sanofi Aventis, said on the occasion that „this new vaccine factory can easily switch from the production of vaccine against the seasonal flu to vaccine against the pandemic flu in the event

of a human flu pandemic being declared". Six days before the first case of H1N1 appeared in Mexico.

How can Bachelot justify giving women carrying babies an untested, unproven vaccine when there is currently no danger posed to their lives by the so-called „swine flu“— the WHO itself admits the swine flu is not lethal in any way in its current form and there is no sign of it becoming lethal?

How can Bachelot sanction „clinical trials“ that deliberately exclude „pregnant women“ so that data on the impact, possibly very dangerous of this drug, will not be available?

How can Bachelot sanction a biological experiment on pregnant women? Under the rules of warfare, violence against children, the elderly and maternity cases is a forbidden conduct. But it seems that Bachelot in this undeclared biological war against the French people has abandoned the most basic rules of warfare among civilised nations, and is ready to subject pregnant women knowingly and deliberately to an unproven substance that the majority of doctors and nurses would refuse to take.

To conduct biological experiments or to use dangerous biological agents to wilfully kill, injure, torture other people, especially children, pregnant women and the elderly, constitutes a grave crime under international and domestic laws. And yet that is what Bachelot and Hortefeux plan to do.

6. In spite of the risks of preparing an infrastructure for the administration of an untested vaccine, a wilfully, purposefully untested, vaccine to the entire French population, the document issued by Bachelot and Hortefeux contains no mention of the need for any mechanism to be created to stop the programme if evidence appears that it is dangerous to the health of people.

This, in spite of the fact that the 1976 mass swine flu vaccination programme in the USA had to be abandoned after hundreds of Americans were killed or injured by the programme, instated after a young soldier mysteriously died at Fort Dix in New Jersey. As a result of damage caused by the US national swine flu immunisation programme of 1976, vaccine companies faced damage claims of billions of dollars.

The „swine flu“ vaccine of 1976 caused about 500 cases of the Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) leading to the death through severe pulmonary complications of 25 people, probably caused by an immunopathological reaction to the vaccine (see. 1).

5000 people asked for compensation for injury from the vaccine (see.2).

1. Haber P, Sejvar J, Mikaeloff Y, Destefano F (2009). "Vaccines and guillain-barré syndrome". Drug Saf 32 (4): 309-23.. doi: 10.2165/00002018-200932040-00005 (inactive 2009-04-26). PMID 19388722. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19388722>

2. concerns over the safety of the vaccine against the swine flu. <http://www.theoneclickgroup.co.uk/news.php?start=2820&end=2840&view=yes&id=3678#newspost>

This time, the vaccine companies have been given immunity from any liability for the damage caused by their „swine flu“ vaccines by the US Secretary of health and Human Resources, Kathleen Sebelius.

This is also the case in France due to a law passed in 2007:

http://theflucase.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=531%3Afrench-law-gives-pharma-companies-immunity-over-toxic-qpandemicq-jab&catid=41%3Ahighlighted-news&Itemid=105&lang=fr

Bachelot is obliged as part of her office to have knowledge of the controversy surrounding the 1976 swine flu programme and to have included, at the very least, in the detailed 19-page plan a clear mechanism for the mass vaccination campaign to be suspended in the event that the „vaccine centers“ see that people are getting ill or dying as a result of the vaccine as happened in the USA in 1976.

Peer-reviewed studies show that seasonal flu vaccines have been of virtually no benefit to babies, children with asthma, adults, or the elderly. The Truth About the Flu Shot, Sherri Tenpenny, D.O., http://drtenpenny.com/the_truth_about_the_flu_shot.aspx (cites supporting studies)

Dr Keiji Fukuda, the WHO's flu chief, warned about the potential dangers of the untested vaccines: "There are certain areas where you simply do not try to make any economies. One of the things which cannot be compromised is the safety of vaccines."<http://www.thisislondon.co.uk/standard/article-23724398-details/Vaccine+for+swine+flu+may+be+unsafe+warns+WHO/article.do>

Drug Policy Researcher Alan Cassels and Professional Ethics Director Arthur Schafer recently questioned the safety and effectiveness of the H1N1 vaccine. <http://www.winnipegfreepress.com/local/rushing-hin1-vaccine-for-fall-unethical-prof-says-52635642.html>

The Czech Republic has refused Baxter's swine flu vaccine over safety concerns. <http://www.theoneclickgroup.co.uk/news.php?start=2820&end=2840&view=yes&id=3700#newspost>

World Health Organization officials have warned that H1N1 vaccines may be unsafe. <http://www.thisislondon.co.uk/standard/article-23724398-details/Vaccine+for+swine+flu+may+be+unsafe+warns+WHO/article.do>

The Lancet recently stated: "Countries need to assess carefully the risks and benefits of the rapid approval of a human swine flu vaccine to avoid the repeat of past problems with mass-vaccination." <http://www.irishtimes.com/newspaper/ireland/2009/0801/1224251858317.html>

Chronology day by day of the swine flu H1N1 2009, http://www.medicinenet.com/swine_flu/page9.htm

Drug Policy Researcher Alan Cassels and Professional Ethics Director Arthur Schafer recently questioned the safety and effectiveness of the H1N1 vaccine. <http://autisminnb.blogspot.com/2009/08/swine-flu-h1n1-vaccine-safety.html>

German parliament and European Council health committee chair Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg has warned of possible cancer and other side effects from the H1N1 vaccines worse than the actual swine flu virus.

<http://www.theoneclickgroup.co.uk/news.php?start=2840&end=2860&view=yes&id=3718#newspost>

The Institute of Science and Society has said that the vaccines will be far more deadly than the swine flu, and that mass vaccinations are a recipe for disaster. Report ISIS , 27 July 2009

<http://www.i-sis.org.uk/fastTrackSwineFluVaccineUnderFire.php>

Nowhere in the 19-page plan is there any mention of any such mechanism or surveillance system to monitor the safety of the vaccines and break off the mass vaccine programme when evidence that it is causing serious injury emerges. By contrast, much stress is given to the importance of installing a surveillance system to check how many people have taken the vaccination. Why have Bachelot and Hortefeux left out such a vital component in their detailed instructions? Again, the absence of any mechanism to abandon the „mass vaccination“ programme in the event it proves to be dangerous to the health of people reinforces the notion that this the plan is actually part of a covert biological war being waged against people, and the purpose is to cause serious injury.

7. The dangers of the pandemic vaccines to be given to the people of France are underlined by the fact that GlaxoSmithKline's vaccines are classified as biodefense stockpile drug by the EU and US regulators. Glaxo's pandemic influenza vaccine is characterised by regulatory authorities as a substance that needs to be stockpiled in the EU's and USA's biodefense stockpiles.

“Regulatory/Status Index:

EU666 Biodefense stockpile

US666 Biodefense stockpile "

This classification by regulatory authorities underscores fears that the pandemic flu vaccines, which contain toxic adjuvants, are indeed actually bioweapons, designed to cause death and injury. Furthermore, the Glaxo vaccine is categorised as having "controlled or government distribution in the EU and USA" only. Below is the entry for the Glaxo pandemic influenza vaccine on a biopharma database. Scroll down to see the Regulatory/Status Index.

"Prepandrix; Pandemrix - influenza virus H5N1, inactivated, vaccine, with AS03 adjuvant; bird flu vaccine; avian influenza vaccine; pandemic influenza vaccine"

Company roles:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) - R&D ; Tech. ; USA mark.

GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals S.A. (GSK) - Manuf. ; R&D ; Tech. ; Europe mark.

GlaxoSmithKline plc (GSK) - Parent

Monograph size = 15988 characters (not including indexing, nomenclature, links, etc. on this page)

Partial monograph text:

393 Influenza Vaccine, H5N1/GSK

Pandemic Influenza vaccine, surface antigen, inactivated; influenza vaccine, pandemic - Prepandrix; Pandemrix; influenza virus H5N1 vaccine; bird flu vaccine; influenza virus H5N1, inactivated, vaccine; avian influenza vaccine; influenza H5N1 virus (A/Indonesia) vaccine

Status: vaccine approved in EU in May 2008; being added to U.S. and other countries' stockpiles

Organizations involved:

GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals S.A. - Manuf.: R&D; Tech.; Europe mark.

GlaxoSmithKline plc - Parent

Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (CDC) - R&D; Tech.; U.S. mark..

Description: Prepandrix "prepandemic vaccine" is a conventional egg-cultured influenza virus H5N1 (A/VietNam/1194/2005 NIBRG-14) clade 2 inactivated split-antigen vaccine. The H5N1 clade 2 bulk material is being manufactured from a laboratory version seed virus of the wild-type virus provided by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The reference virus, A/Vietnam/1194/2004 (H5N1) NIBRG-14, was developed using reverse genetics, with reassortment strain combining the H5 and N1 segments to with an influenza virus PR8 strain backbone. In addition the H5 was engineered to eliminate the polybasic stretch of amino-acids at the HA cleava ... truncated (just the beginning)

Nomenclature:

Influenza Vaccine, H5N1/GSK [BIO]

Prepandrix [TR]

Pandemrix [TR]

Pandemic Influenza vaccine, surface antigen, inactivated [EU]

Prepandemic influenza vaccine (H5N1) (split virion, inactivated, adjuvanted) A/VietNam/1194/2004 NIBRG-14 [EU]

Pandemic influenza vaccine (H5N1) (split, inactivated, adjuvanted) [EU]

avian influenza vaccine [SY]

bird flu vaccines [SY]

influenza H5N1 virus (A/Indonesia)vaccine [SY]

influenza vaccine, pandemic [SY]

influenza virus H5N1, inactivated, vaccine with AS03 adjuvant [SY]

Index Terms:

Product Class Index:

biopharmaceutical products

bovine materials used

chicken source materials

vaccines, inactivated

vaccines, subunit

vaccines, viral

SB001 BIOPHARMA prod. (mainstream)

Regulatory/Status Index:

EU200 Currently Approved in EU

EU666 Biodefense stockpile

UM100 Controlled/Gov't Distribution in US

US666 Biodefense stockpile

EM160 Controlled/Gov't Distribution in EU

Biological Index:

chicken embryos (eggs)

influenza virus, H5N1

virus culture

Chemical Index:

alpha-tocopherol

aluminum adjuvant

AS03 adjuvant

Daronrix

disodium phosphate

formaldehyde

magnesium chloride

octoxynol 10

polysorbate 80 (Tween 80)

potassium chloride

potassium dihydrogen phosphate

sodium chloride

sodium deoxycholate

squalene

thiomersal

vitamin E

The Prepandrix vaccine from GlaxoSmithKline containing the bird flu virus according to a document of the EMEA Réf. doc.: EMEA/CHMP/488893/2009 is approved for use in the EU. How is this possible?

EMEA/H/C/822.

Prepandrix Vaccin grippal prépandémique (H5N1) (virion fragmenté, inactivé, avec adjuvant) (A/Vietnam/1194/2004 NIBRG--14)

<http://www.emea.europa.eu/humandocs/PDFs/EPAR/prepandrix/H-822-fr1.pdf>

<http://www.emea.europa.eu/humandocs/Humans/EPAR/prepandrix/prepandrix.htm>

By calling these same substances sometimes bioweapons, sometimes vaccines, the government can circumvent the protocols applying to the use of lethal and incapacitating agents.

The swine flu vaccines will contain an adjuvant squalene that caused the Gulf War Syndrome in US and UK soldiers, and which is not licenced for use in the USA and UK, that is, to say, it was used illegally on US and UK soldiers.

Not only do the pandemic vaccines contain this squalene ingredient for which there is a large body of scientific evidence that it is dangerous, it will have about 60,000 more of the dangerous oil in water squalene adjuvant that caused death and injury to US and UK soldiers.

In addition, thie „swine flu“ vaccine is set to contain thiomersal. Thiomersal is very toxic by inhalation, ingestion, and in contact with skin (EC hazard symbol T+), with a danger of cumulative effects. It is also very toxic to aquatic organisms and may cause long-term adverse effects in aquatic environments (EC hazard symbol N).[8] In the body, it is metabolized or degraded to ethylmercury (C₂H₅Hg⁺) and thiosalicylate.

Few studies of the toxicity of thiomersal in humans have been performed. Animal experiments suggest that thiomersal rapidly dissociates to release ethylmercury after injection; that the disposition patterns of mercury are similar to those after exposure to equivalent doses of ethylmercury chloride; and that the central nervous system and the kidneys are targets, with lack of motor coordination being a common sign.

Thiomersal is believed to play a role in certain cases of autism: http://www.naturalnews.com/026953_thimerosal_autism_mercury.html Similar signs and symptoms have been observed in accidental human poisonings. The mechanisms of toxic action are unknown. Fecal excretion accounts for most of the elimination from the body. Ethylmercury clears from blood with a half-time of about 18 days,

and from the brain in about 14 days. Inorganic mercury metabolized from ethylmercury has a much longer clearance, at least 120 days; it appears to be much less toxic than the inorganic mercury produced from mercury vapor, for reasons not yet understood.

Concerns based on extrapolations from methylmercury caused thiomersal to be removed from U.S. childhood vaccines, starting in 1999.

The WHO mandated pandemic vaccines with adjuvants and a live whole virus were to be given to populations for the „swine flu“ in July.

However, memoranda from the WHO and dated from 1972 have emerged that show that WHO has intentionally created the three-shot killer vaccine that people in France and other countries could soon be forced to take.

The 1972 WHO Bulletin 47, No 2 Memoranda #1 and #2 outline the technological capacity to create biological weapons in the form of vaccines that:

- 1) First totally disable the Immune System.
- 2) Load every cell of the Victim's body up with Infection.
- 3) Switch the Immune System on causing the host to kill themselves in a Cytokine Storm.

http://www.drcarley.com/pdf/WHO_Memo_1_searchable.pdf

http://www.drcarley.com/pdf/WHO_Memo_2_Searchable.pdf

These memorandas are a crucial piece of evidence of WHO's long-term genocidal intentions give the best and fullest explanation of WHO's and affiliated labs (such as the CDC) current activities, namely their support of Jeffrey Taubenberger's reconstruction of the Spanish Flu virus in a bioweapons lab, their assistance in helping Baxter patent the most lethal form of the live bird flu viruses after obtaining it from the Indonesian government, and their sending that virus to Baxter's subsidiary in Austria, which weaponised it and sent out 72 kilos to 16 labs in four countries almost triggering a global pandemic.

The WHO memorandums provide the evidence of a deliberate, long-term planning to kill people by weakening their immune system by use of the first vaccine, injecting a live virus into the body in a second step, and creating a cytokine storm using adjuvants such as squalene in a third step.

At the time of writing this, another element confirms suspicions about the real intentions of WHO. In a reference laboratory affiliated with WHO in France, located in Lyon, avenue Rockefeller, directed by Professor Bruno Lina. At a time when the pandemic threatens France, the team of Professor Lina is not looking for an alternative treatment to the flu, or even for ways

to reduce its virulence. NO, Professor Lina is working on way to make it the H1N1 virus more virulent and more dangerous:

<http://www.lyonmag.com/article/10958/bruno-lina-la-vaccination-est-le-pilier-de-la-lutte-contre-la-grippe-a>
<http://articulos.sld.cu/influenzaporcina/2009/05/02/oms-lista-de-centros-nacionales-de-influenza/>

Professor Lina directs another laboratory, the P4, which is also coordinated by WHO. This laboratory works on viruses that are especially virulent and there are fears that the work is associated with biological wars.

8. In spite of the fact that Bachelot and Hortefeux have developed and also issued detailed instructions to prefects to launch a vaccine campaign that is unprecedented in its scale and also in its risks in as far as it is actually a huge experiment on the population against their will, they have not announced their plan to the general public, even though it is planned to start from September 28th and to be carried out at a break neck pace.

Their legitimacy depends solely on their willingness to adhere to the laws of the land and also on their readiness to act in good faith to promote the health and security of the people of France as their elected representatives.

It is clear that Bachelot and Horetefuex have been caught in a grave breach of faith. Their responsibilities as top government officials in charge of health and security are grave. So are their legal and moral obligations to discharge their duties in the interests of the people of France. A rule of conduct of ministers of health and ministers of interior must be to provide the general public about accurate information concerning any such mass vaccination programme, especially one which is designed to be forced and which carries so many risks.

It is an improper practice to conceal from the population by deliberate lying and misleading conduct extremely detailed and advanced plans Bachelot and Hortefeux have set up to establish an infrastructure to vaccinate the entire population. Their intention to mislead the public is made clear by their instruction to prefects not to inform the public until the end of September, that is to say, until their "vaccine centers" are already operating.

In this way, they intend to take the population of France by surprise. The French will be presented with a fait accompli: the existence of these vaccine centers and the requirement to be vaccinated.

There is strong evidence that the act of mass vaccination will itself allow a virulent pandemic virus to emerge. The appearance of this virulent virus will be used as a pretext - so it would

appear - to then force vaccinate the rest of the population in the "secure" centers.

Minute calculations have been made for the number of people to be vaccinated in such "secure" vaccine centers - and Bachelot and Hortefeux appear to estimate it will be, in fact, the largest part.

In as far as the people of France are ignorant of the threat and have been denied information, they are unable to take steps to protect their interests and civic rights.

Their treacherous and perfidious conduct in planning to force vaccinate the entire French population with a toxic substance for the profit of the vaccine companies and the bankers is on such a scale that it destroys the basis for their continuation in office.

Their plans aim at the complete annihilation of the French and of French sovereignty.

They have even instituted measures to misuse health personnel and compel them to take part in an operation directed against their own country.

They are targetting specifically pregnant women and children using a biological agents that are forbidden in any war.

But what we are dealing with here is an undeclared war by the international banking and pharmaceutical cartel against the people of France with the objective of achieving the total destruction of the country and its people and of gaining total control over its political and economic structures. We are dealing here with two agents of that cartel who have been infiltrated into high positions in government and who are misusing their office to push forward the agenda of that cartel by the calculated use of biological warfare.

9. There is strong evidence there is an international corporate crime syndicate of which Bachelot and Hortefeux are a part constitutes a threat to the people of France and the rest of the world.

There is strong evidence that the Rockefeller and Rothschild family dynasties are at the core of this criminal enterprise which funds not only WHO and the UN but also controls banks, specifically Goldman Sachs, and the vaccine companies such as Baxter through their financial stakes.

There is strong evidence this group has implemented a covert bioweapons programme against the people of the world under the guise of giving them a prophylactic measure against a pandemic they appear to have contributed to starting just so the WHO, their allied body, could justify the declaration of a pandemic level 6

emergency and institute forced mass vaccination not just in France but in all of WHO's member states.

There is evidence that this same group deliberately caused the financial crash and that their aim is to enrich themselves at the expense of the vast majority of the people through one scam or another. When all their financial and biological weapons schemes are integrated, the synergistic effect is to give them enormous wealth and power while simultaneously robbing and killing large sections of the globe's population.

This biological attack can be fully understood within the framework of the strategy to establish a new world order:

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Levant/nancy137.htm>

There is strong evidence that Bachelot and Hortefeux are furthering the interests of that same group and issuing in secret plans that would allow the complete annihilation of the French population under the guise of offering a prophylactic measure for a (mild and unthreatening) swine flu.